P. F. 92 of 93.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No 35 of 87

1891-92

192 .

(R.R)

File No 12 of 91

Serial Nos. 1 —

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1 — 14

Major. ~~Part~~ B for February 94

SUBJECT.

Division of Rarer Medical Magazines into four Groups.

Feb 1894

Indent

WD 2233—GPB.—20,000—14-6-20

Precis

Petition no 1234
dated 24.11.92
Petitioner
Ramamma
wife of deceased Kaubhay
Gundappa

This is a dispute with regard to the Kharbhari of Karur Nadurad Magani of Sagar Taluk.

In 1889 the case had come up before government when the following order was passed:

" Originally the registered hukdar Khaubhays of the Karur Nadurad Magani of Sagar Taluk were Samia and his brother Pottappa. The said Magani comprising of eight villages. Both the brothers died Sonless. Samia's daughter Gowramma adopted the present appellant Narasimhia and Puttia's

A.S No 2884 of 1897

Appellant.
Narasimhia
minor by guardian
Gowramma

Respondent
Gundappa
minor by
guardian
Ramakka

dated 10.9.98

1 Anadi
2 Kirunase
3 Volagere
4 Herekere
5 Hebbur
6 Singalathe
7 Chinale
8 Theppige

daughter Huchamma adopted one Gundappa the Respondent, who died subsequent to the institution of this appeal and who is now represented by his widow Ramakka.

2 Under an arrangement sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner in 1881 the first four villages named in the margin (vide para 1) were registered in the name of Narasimhia and the remaining four in that of Gundappa. During the Potgi settlement which took place in 1886 a different distribution was made villages nos 1 & 2 were allotted to Narasimhia and nos 3, 5, & 6 to Gundappa. The remaining three villages nos 4, 7, & 8 were registered in the joint names of both the Huckoors and the duties

thereof were allowed to be performed by certain Gumashtas (Sambia & Samia) The present appeal by Nara-Simhia is against this Settlement. He contends that two of the villages which belonged to his tuck were wrongly transferred to Gundappa, and the widow of the latter in her petition presented this day virtually concedes the propriety of the Appellant's contention. The Government therefore direct that the division of the villages made at the Potgi Settlement be revised according to the original arrangement which appellant's guardian Gowramma and Gundappa's widow Ramakka now act may be adhered to.

3 The other ground of appeal is that new Gumashtas were appointed during Potgi Settlement instead of allowing those already

selected by the parties to continue to perform the duties. × ×

The contention regarding the new gumashtas, to whom both the parties object, will be referred to the Deputy Commissioner for enquiry and disposal."

With reference to the above decision the Deputy Commissioner of Srinagar stated as follows:-

" × ×

In the present case the 8 villages belonging to the Karur Narwad Mutani have been made into three circles by the Survey Department apparently with the object that the Potgi amount payable on each may not much exceed the prescribed limit.

The groupings have also been made with due consideration of the convenience of situation. At the time of Potgi settlement appellant & Respondent

vide Deputy Commrs No. 4-C/378 dt 28-9-1889

were both present as also
their respective maternal
grandfathers. Respondent
Gundappa, deceased, was
of full age at the ~~settlement~~
settlement and it was with
his and his maternal
grandfather's full consent
that the appointments to
the circles were made.
Appellant Narasimha
through his maternal
grandfather and guardian
also gave his consent to the
arrangement made at the
Potgi. It was upon both
the huckoars agreeing to
the grouping made by the
Supt of Survey. . . . that
the arrangement made
by the Supt of Rev Survey
was confirmed and the
circles were allotted to the
huckoars as agreed to by
them in ~~may~~ my presence
and Gunnathas appointed
to the circles in accordance

with the wishes of the
huckoard. × × ×
I may also be allowed
to refer to a small error
made in the decision
to the effect that gumasthas
Sanna and Sambia had
been appointed gumasthas
to the circle composed of
the three villages Nos 4, 7 & 8.
From Potgi settlement
Register it will be seen
that only one gumastha
viz Sanama has been
appointed and that with
the consent of both the
huckoars.
If after considering the
above explanation the
Govt still consider that
the groupings made by
the Supt of Survey should
be cancelled - - - - - -
I shall address the Supt
of Survey on the question
of making an altered
grouping in accordance
with the decision of Govt."

vide extracts from
the Potgi settlement
Regr which show that
the arrangement was
made with the full
consent of the huckoars.

No 6371/208 of 6.11.89

The government there-upon passed the following order:

"From the records of the case it appears that Gowramma the adoptive mother of the minor Narasimha presented the petition of appeal. An advocate appeared on her behalf, and the appeal from her, who is the natural guardian of the minor, ~~and~~ cannot be set aside on the ground that the minor and his so called guardian and natural mother's father Chintamani Bhatta agreed to the arrangement made at the Pothi settlement and now deny all knowledge of the petition presented by Gowramma. The decision already passed must therefore be carried out.

The question of the appointment of guardian should be disposed of by

the Deputy Commr after hearing Govt and the adoptive mother and proper guardian of the minor Narasimha."

The Superintendent of Survey then reported to Government that the revision of the Soligi settlement of 8 villages of the Karur Nadwad Magani as directed in the Prop quoted above ($\frac{6371}{208}$ of 6.11.89) would entail an additional charge of Rs 63-8-0 to Government.

vide no 578 dated 16.12.89

The Supt of Survey was afterwards directed to carry out the revision ordered in Appeal Case No 2884 of 1887, notwithstanding the increased cost to the State.

his office No $\frac{1165}{\text{F 41}}$ of 18-1-92 to the Supt of Survey

vide order quoted above

The Supt of Survey submitted a revised statement shewing that the eight villages in question had been divided into

No 747 of 10.2.92

four distinct groups and solicited sanction for an additional expenditure of Rs 63. 4. 0 per annum for the remuneration of the Shanbhags.

In this office no 14545/98 dated 16/17 - 3. 92 it was stated, in reply to the Survey Supt's letter no 747 dated 10th Feb 1892, that there was apparently no necessity for dividing the villages in question into four distinct groups inasmuch as the original arrangement which had given to Narasimha and Ganapa four villages each, had been ordered to be adhered to, ~~and~~ that considering the situation of the villages it seemed to govt that three groups might conveniently be formed in

the following manner

Group I :—

Anadi 1
Kiruvadi 2
Volagere 3
Hirikere 4

Total Revenue: 8200

Potgi : —— 152-10-0

Group II :—

Sirugulale 1
Hebbur 2

Total Revenue 2975

Potgi —— 97-8-0

Group III :

Bhimali 1
Theppige 2

Total Revenue 2725
Potgi 92-8-0;

that this arrangement would not only be in conformity with the Govt decision referred to above, but would also reduce by Rs 5-14-0 the Potgi amount sanctioned in Govt Prop no 950-60 dated 16th Sept 1885 instead of

Amount only sanctioned Rs 348-8-0
Present calculation 342-10-0
5-14-0

raising it by Rs 63.4.0 and that the Survey Supt. should report whether there was any objection to carry out that arrangement.

no. 862 of 21.3.92

The Supt of Survey replied that his chief objection to the above arrangement was that group I consisting of 4 villages with a revenue of Rs 8260 was far larger than was admissible under the Pukka Poligar Rules and that the four villages above referred to were besides not contiguous.

no. 12081 R 1433.93 of 16.1.93

The Deputy Commr of Shimoga was therefore requested to report the exact distance between each of the villages of Avadi, Kirunesi, Voigere and Hirekere in the Sagar Taluk and whether he had any objection to these four villages being grouped into one Shanbhog's circle.

In his letter no 527 dated
27th February 1893 the
Sub division officer of
Sagar has reported to
the deputy Commr of
Shimoga ~~Board~~ as follows:-
11 X
The distance from
Avadi to Kirwase is 3 miles
Kirwasi to Volgere 6 miles
Volgere to Hirekere 8 miles
The village of Avadi
is the centre of the
group and the distance
from it to the remaining
three will be as shown
below.
Avadi to Kirwase 3 miles
do to Volgere 4 miles
do to Hirekere 5 miles
Of this group Volgere is
in the northern and
and Hirekere southern,
with a distance of 8 miles
between them and they
are not contiguous.
If the objection of the
Survey Supt contained

7

in his no 86 2 dated 21.3.92 is overruled there seems to me no objection to group these villages into one circle. The question of their not being contiguous is of secondary consideration."

In the meantime Ramamma wife of deceased Gundappa above named has presented a petition to this office that in accordance with the Govt decision above referred to, the Kanabhaga of the four villages and the Khata of her lands might be registered in her name.

no 1234
of 24.11.92

RCH

The Kannar Kadwad Inagam in the Sagar District consists of 8 villages viz:-

1. Avadi
2. Kirinesi
3. Volgere
4. Kirikere.
5 Hebbur.
6. Sirigelalé
7. Chimalé
8. Thepphigé.

On an appeal to this office, it was decided, that the first four villages should be given to one man & the other 4 to another.

In carrying out this decision the Survey Report dated 10th August was divided into 4 groups. This grouping requires a remuneration of Rs 4 H. 12. for the Kandayam - Instead of 4 groups, it will be in conformity with the first decision to make 3 groups, viz:

No. 1, 2, 3 & 4 — Group No 1.
No. 5 & 6 — Group No 2
No 7 & 8 — Group No 3.

The remuneration was amounted to Rs. 3-12-0. & this grouping was adopted.

The situation of villages
Nos 5, 6, 7 & 8 which
go to the Shareof one person
renders it inconvenient
to [illegible] them into
a single Circle -

Submitted for approval, together
with the Taluk map of
Layout. [illegible]

Asst Commissioner

[illegible]

15

I am in Agn
to the Inspection
draft w[illegible]
many spare

[illegible]

15/5

Submitted to Inspector
with the Insp. copy.

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 30).

1892.

OFFICE OF THE
22 MAR. 92
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Secretary Mysore Rev Survey

(21)

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Bengal

Dated 21st March/92

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 862
No.

Adverting to my letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892 I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of a letter No 5-14 dated 16th March 1892 from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, Bangalore, in the Mysore Commission, regarding the proposal to amalgamate the Sagar Taluk with the Shimoga District.

2. As regards the first suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

3. As regards the second suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

4. As regards the third suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

5. As regards the fourth suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

Received
24-3-92

Read
24-3-92

The revenue of the Villages referred to in para 2 of the letter under reply will be credited to Bangalore District under Rule XXVIII of the Police Rules (Page 72 of Printed Book).

Memo No 2450-53 dated 16th September 1892 from the Superintendent Revenue Survey Mysore Commission, Bangalore.

Subject:- Proposal to amalgamate the Sagar Taluk with the Shimoga District.

With reference to the correspondence ending with the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of a letter No 5-14 dated 16th March 1892 from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, Bangalore, in the Mysore Commission, regarding the proposal to amalgamate the Sagar Taluk with the Shimoga District.

2. As regards the first suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

3. As regards the second suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

4. As regards the third suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

5. As regards the fourth suggestion, viz. that the Sagar Taluk should be transferred to the Shimoga District, I am to say that there is certain objection to the arrangement of certain Revenue charges in the Sagar Taluk suggested in the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892, but I do not consider that this objection is sufficient to prevent the transfer being carried out.

Submitted for orders with the map of the Sagar Taluk.

Jun 24/3

For J G Cumming's orders - Adverting to Para 5 of the Chief Secretary's letter No 1233-93 dated 13th September 1892 the Survey numbers in the Sagar Taluk which are to be transferred to the Shimoga District are marked in red on the map.

24/3/1

Date of Jan

Report that the Survey numbers have been marked in red on the map.

3.3

24-3-92

(21)

Read letter from the ~~Dy~~ Supt of Rev Survey
dy 21st March 1892
No 862

Do from the Dy Comr of
Shimoga dy 28 March
1893.

Under the circumstances
stated in the foregoing
letter, I am pleased
to sanction the alienation
of Karur Nadavad Magani
with 4 Groups as proposed
by the Supt of Revenue
Survey in his docket
dated 10th February 1892
No 47.

---

ul
4/12/93

15



Appt.

Advocate Mr

appears for

the Guardian Samidah & Samidah

also for Venkamma natural mother of

Appt.

Division made at Pottige with the

revised accg to the original arrangement, which

Gowramma & Ramakka now ask Court

may be ordered to.

The Contention regarding the Guardian to which minor

the object will be rep to Deputy.

9
R. 80

Survey No. 47.

OFFICE OF THE
7186
16. DEC. 89
DIWAN OF MYSORE

1889

17

From

To The Superintendent
Mysore Revenue Survey

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith for disposal a petition dated 18/11/1891 from Nanjaiah, Ag. Village Accountant, Kasaba Hobli, Nanjangud Taluk, praying that the revision of the Kasaba Settlement of 8 villages of the Kasaba Shadurshe Magani of the Nanjangud Taluk may be sanctioned an additional expenditure of Rs 63-8-a. to Govt.

DISPATCHED
Dec 1891

ENCLOSURE No.
No. 378 of 1889.

Submitted for orders
8/12/91

In reply the Survey Superintendent will be informed that in accordance with the representation contained in Nanjangud Register No. 47 of 31/5 of 28th September 1889 the Revenue Settlement of the Kasaba Shadurshe Magani of the Nanjangud Taluk which was sanctioned by Shankar Gowramma Widow of Late Nanjaiah Nanjaiah Village Accountant of the Nanjangud Taluk vide Proceedings No. 6371/208 of 6 November 1889 is revised and forwarded. The revision should be given effect to from the next Fasli commencing from the 1st July 1892 Cost Establishment in Postage Rs 8 Letter 8/12/91

Drafted
ahk
15/12

13
8-1-92

No. 578

Jail Superintendent's Office

Bangalore, 5th December 1889

(11)

To,

The Chief Secretary to
the Govt of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor, regret
carrying out the instructions
conveyed in Proceedings of the
Government of Mysore No. 13447
dated 3rd instant, to inform
to notice that the services
of the Regimental Tailor of 8
villages of the Jail Guard
being Kurubas directed will
entail an additional charge
of Rs. 15-5- to Government.

The

The reason of this is that the
grading originally completed
was based upon the 3 mile
in question, representing no risk
and consequently, consumed
little cost to form, an
arrangement which is forbidden
by the decision of Court to
enlarge the original arrange-
ment made by the Deputy
Commissioner in 1881. I must
remark that the arrangement
made by the Deputy Commission-
er in 1881 was not objected
to nor did all the 3 miles
area returned as belonging
to our Mak.

2. I have nothing to

add

and it was the duty of the Commissioners to see that the arrangements set in the Letter of 25th Sept 1854 regarding Fisheries, did not stand in the way of the interests of the Province which the Commissioners will submit. The next question of importance was the arrangement and regulation of Light Houses and Buoys. The Commissioners in 1854 in writing upon the Government, and endeavoured to explain the different Lights. The Light House came into operation long before 1851

but

line of course this application is "Ex Parte" until, or except so far, the intervention of the County Settlement Officer in a position under the action of the Deputy Commissioner is binding upon Government the writings at the time being all of one and the same sort.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servt

Superintendent

Upper Perak.

9
R-80

— 24

R.

R. No. 11655 18/22/1892 To. (181)

P. 41

The Superintendent of Police
Mysore Bangalore

Sir

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 578 dated 16th December 1889 reporting, ~~that the services of the Gauli Peons~~ ~~attached to the Police Station~~ ~~at Kasaba~~ ~~dated 6th Nov 1889~~, that the services of the Police Settlement of villages belonging to the Kasaba attached Magadi in the Sagar Taluk will be entirely and additional Expenditure

~~4~~ 25

of R: 63-5-4 to Govt

I am directed to ~~inform~~ state that ~~the~~ notwithstanding the
increased cost to the
consideration of the State, the decision
representation contained ~~case~~
in Shimoga Deputy
Commissioner's No. 412
dated 23rd September 378
1889, Government have only confirmed
their decision in appeal
case No. 2854 of 1887
(Appellant Tavedunniah
by guardian Ganamma
~~vs~~ Respondent Ra-
-malika, widow of
Gundappa) ~~vs~~ City
of the ~~order passed~~ decision

vez

2

~~vol, Portefeuille n° 1 bis, f°~~ 208

~~dated 6th November~~
~~1809~~, à Versailles Jour-
nard. ~~Un dossier~~
~~relatif à la fausse affec-~~
~~tation notoirement~~
~~additionnelle~~
~~à Gouvernement à~~
~~Potsdam~~.

Thane Kris

Chef Secy.

Rec'd by
Mr Macnaghten
for Appointment
CC
91/1/92

CC
91/1/92

Rec'd 2 Nov 1809
Journal
1870

CC-9/1/92

56

(General Serial No. 30).

1892

OFFICE OF THE
12 FEB. 92
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1159
P&A 153

From The Superintendent Cantonment

119

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 10th February 1892

Despatched 12 noon 13/2/92

Received 12 noon 13/2/92 B1433

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 74

Chief Secretary's letter No. 1600 dated 15th ultimo stating that the revision ordered in Mysore case No. 2384 of 1887 regarding certain Thandoji charges should be carried out.

Have the honor to submit for approval and kind return the accompanying revised statement from which it will be

Rec't Dept.
Mysore
11/2/92

Read
18/2/92. Draft forwarded
H M
7/3/92

Draft as revised.
Mysore 5/3.

A 3
68-2-80

It received that the 3 villages 28
in question have been divided
into four distinct groups so
as to meet the object of
Government and to solicit
sanction for a further sum
of Rs 63.4.0 in excess of
the total amount of Chaukidari
remuneration sanctioned in
Government Proceedings of
9-10-60 dated 6th September 85.

x As now revised Rs 411.12.0
As already sanctioned " 348.8.0
Difference Rs 63.4.0

Superintendent of Police
Anantapur

1/R.80

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

(19)

To The Superintendent
Imperial Geol. Survey

Dated 16th
Despatched 17th } March 1892
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 14545
No 98

3 52.

Saying that Govt. are of opinion that three Groups may be formed of the Siwaliks of the Kurram Vad-wad Bhagani in the Sagur Salak and calling for a report as to the objections, if any, for carrying out the arrangement.

(201

To

The Superintendent,
Revenue Survey
in Mysore.

No. 145-45-D/16-3-92
98 17

Sir.

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your docket No. 747 of the 5th February 1892 soliciting sanction to a further sum of Rs 63-4-- over the total amount of Simultaneous remuneration sanctioned for the Lagar Taluk

Rec'd in Rev: No 4712 of 10 Sept 1892.

Rec: No 953-60 of the 15th September 1892 in order to pass the accounts of the Lagar Taluk for the current year. In reply to your letter No 2884 of 8th inst.

to the Chairperson of Karur
Madras Region in the paper laid
~~Circular dated 6.10.~~
~~Proceedings of the Inspector~~
~~General of Police (Prohibition)~~
~~Madras in Lr. No. 141~~
~~dated 8.8.1983~~,
I am directed to state
~~that the~~ the original arrangement
by which the first four
of the villages specified
in the ~~x~~ margin had been
registered in the name
of Thirumanimuthar and the
remaining four in that
of Gundlapatti, has
been ordered to be dissolved
to, there is ~~at~~ present
no necessity for dividing
the villages into distinct
groups, which entails
an additional expenditure
of Rs. 3-4- per annum.
Taking into
consideration the situation
of the villages it seems to
~~be practicable~~,
the Government are

1 Aradi.
2 Kumaradi.
3 Vagarai.
4 Keelaiyur.
5. Veerakkal
6 Sirugaloor
7 Thirumalai.
8 Nephiyur.

Name of Village	Extent Persons.	Ratio of Assessment to Population.
1st Group.		
Adrasale		
Kinnawale	8260 —	1532—10—0
Kalgeri		
Hirakere		
2nd Group — Sirinagalale	2375 —	957—8—0
Uchalkere		
3rd Group — Kuvinale	2725 —	952—8—0
Thejuru		
Total - 13,360	Total - 3425 —	3442—10—0

that 3 groups may conveniently be formed of these 7 villages, the 1st group consisting of the four villages of Adrasale, Kinnawale, Kalgeri & Hirakere, and the remaining 3 villages forming 2 distinct groups. Such an arrangement will be in conformity with the decision of Govt. above referred to, but will also reduce by Rs 5-4-0, the Daily amount sanctioned in Proceedings No. 955-60 dated 5th December 1885 instead of Rs 63-4-0 as now sanctioned for the four separate groups or circle

⊗ Amount originally sanctioned — Rs 348-8-0.

Amount as now calculated in this office — 342-13-0.

Difference 5-4-0.

33

2. I am accordingly directed to request that you will be good enough to request Messrs Linklaters & Paines to advise this Board as to any objection exists for carrying out this arrangement.

Yours faithfully

Chief Secty.

No. 862 (Gaeiro 12730/171)
— 34

(21)

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 21st Dec. 1892

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.
1447-48/98 of the 16th instant requesting to report whether any objection exists for exchanging the arrangement of certain Village charges in the Colar District as therein suggested, I have the honor to state that my chief

chief objection to the arrangement
is that yet group consisting of
4 villages with a revenue of
Rs 3,260 is far larger than
is admissible under the Parka
Taluq Rules, the more that
these 4 villages are not con=
=tiguous

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

Supdt = Ujjain Residency

No. 12314

Name of Petitioner. [redacted]

Village. [redacted]

Taluk. [redacted]

District. Kadur

Date of Arzi. 19—1—92

Date of Receipt. 24—11—92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. one

ABSTRACT.

The petitioner's husband died 4 yrs ago, he & his children have since been allowed the registration of the office and the inamdars in her name — Prays for early order.

SKA
9/12/92

Rs 28/1

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 12314

Name of Petitioner. Ramaswamy Iyer s/o Chandrasekhara Sastry of Kasaba Sakaraya Pattana

Village. Kasaba Sakaraya Pattana

Taluk. Kadur

District. Kadur

Date of Arzi. 19-7-92

Date of Receipt. 24-11-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One

ABSTRACT.

The petitioner's husband died 4 years ago, he & and the Survey Dept have since been delaying the registration of the office and the transfer in her name - Prays for early orders.

SKR
9/12/92

W Dyson
in Camp
BD
11
27/12/93

Rs 28/1

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

36

W Dyson
in Camp
BD
11
16/12/93
D.R. Vide Rll
63

Petition Recd in
Where is the Deed
referred to in the
petn -
Find out what
the Mis-lefs are -
Mis might not
have been carried
& correct ref should
have been quoted
at first
Summarily
11
18/12/93

Rev. Branch
19/12/93.

23/12/93

Pet 932

37

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1234

dated 19-11-92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Ramamma, widow of Shanbogue Gundappa of Hanni, Hanud Nagara Sagar Taluk, praying that early orders may be passed that the Shanbogue's office and the Inam lands held by her late husband, may be registered in her name.

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Shimoga Dist for early disposal.

No. 12081 dt 16-1-93

R 1433-92

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
30th Dec 1892.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—11-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 30.) 9943.

1893. R24 &c.

From The Deputy Chief Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 3rd March 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No.

No.

With reference to his
Receipt No 2081 dated
1430-03
to the Rs 1193 forwarding
Copy of Lagan Sale
Deputy Commissioner's Letter
No 527 & 2 to the letter
forwarding the enclosures
to Government

Rev. Mr. 6/3

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

Read 6/3

1893. 14

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Dated 3rd March, 1893.

No. 1131 and
1068

His docket No. 2.4 dated 6th
1433-93
January 1893 requesting to report
the exact distance between each of
the villages of Anadi, Kumsi, Tal-
gere and Hudicere in the Sagar
Taluk &c.a.

With reference to the above, have
the honor to forward copy of Sagar
Sub Division Officer's letter No. 52,
dated 24th ultimo furnishing the
information therein called for.

Abdul Rahiman, Manbahadur
Dy Commissioner

J. Bdy

4

Sub Divisional Office, Lagos

10 x 27.

Dated 2/4 February 1905

(22)

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Sherbro Dist.

Sir,

In reference to the Chief Secretary's No 1208/ dated 11th 1433-03

I have the honor with reference enquiring the distances between the villages of Kwadi, Kenneri and two others in the Karene named Kagani, Sogon Talek. I have the honor to state that the distances given are to another in the order in which they are mentioned in the Chief Secretary's docket is as noted in the margin.

Kwadi to Sherbro 3 miles
Kenneri to Kolgere 3 do
Kolgere to Kwadi 3 "
Kwadi to Kenneri 3 "

2. The village of Kwadi is the centre of the group and the distances given it to the remaining three will be as shown below:

Kwadi to Kenneri 3 miles
do to Kolgere 3 do
do to Kwadi 3 "

of the group, Pelgune is on the
Eastern and and Chelure Eastern,
with a distance of 5 miles between
them and they are not contiguous.

3. If the objection of the District
Superintendent contained in his
No 586 dated 2.1st March 1921
is overruled there seems to be
no objection to grant these villages
into one circle. The question of
their not being contiguous is
of secondary consideration.

I have the
honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant
Abdul Rahman
Tahsildar
Dy Commissioner

"Copy"

4

(General No. 30.)

10745
12 MAR. 93
189 S4/31426

From Sitting Commissioner
Kaveripatnam

(21)

To

e Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 29 March 93

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 1150
No. 1135

In continuation of this
office docket of 31 March
Encl. thereto copy of the
Tagar Taluk Division Officer
letter dtd 25th inst. with
copies of its enclosure from
Ramanna ---

Patn Br
Mrn
29/3

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

23/3/93

44

1892-93

From The Chief Engineer
& Administrator

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Bombay

dated 20th March 93

No 1150 En 3
1135

In continuation of this Office
Cooliet No 1131 dated 3rd Instant,
1088
has the honor to forward for
information & orders of the Govt
the Petition of Trees Viterbo & Co
dated 17th Febry, together with
the Petition with its enclosure,
from Ramanna, received
with the Chief Secretary's No 932
dated 4th Instant -

Exd with this letter
No 1131/1088 & 1315 from
Mundane & enclosure
Petition

For Engineer & Administrator

4th / Sub Division Office
Cocanada Kakinada
No 584. dated 1st March 1893

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

With reference to Chief Secretary's Official Memorandum No 932 dated 4th February last together with its enclosure (Petition from Ramayya, Revenue Office, No 232 dated 1st November 1892) on the subject of regulating the office of Shanbhogue of the Ramachandrapuram Agraharam in the Rajah Taluk in the name of the Petitioner, I have the honor to state that the Chief Secretary's No 208 / M.733-93 of the January last, addressed on the subject of granting a sanad to one Shanbhogi Circle certain villages of the Rajah Agraharam. This reference has been pending

-to in Revs office letter No 527
dated 27th Octobre -

2. The Chief Secretary's Offe
under reply to letter with ref
enclosure and herewith returned.

I have &c
sgd A. Macdonald Capt
Sub Asst Comy Genl
Dy Gen

Copy
W. Macdonald
for Asst Adjt Genl

Your Copy

49

(2½)

Before the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore

Appeal No

Appellant — Respondent

Parasimmiah (Minor) — Gundappa (deceased
by his adoptive mother — represented by the
and guardian, Nanmmah, widow, Ramakka

Decision No. 4712/141-8) 10-9-34

Originally the registered hakdar shandy
of the Karur Varnad Nagani of Nagar
Taluk, were Kannaiga and his brother
Poottappa. The said Nagani consisted
Villages namely —

1 Anadi
2 Kirunase
3 Valagere
4 Here-kere
5 Kelthur
6 Sirugalote
7 Chimale
8 Theppige

Both the brothers died issueless. Kannaiga
daughter Nanmmah adopted the present
appellant Parasimmiah and
Poottaiahs daughter Puttamma
adopted one Gundappa, the Respondent
who died subsequent to the institution
of this appeal, and who is now represented
by his widow Ramakka.

1745

(General Serial No. 32.)

52 189 :

No. 77

Name of Petitioner. Ramanna[illegible] s/o Late Govinda[illegible] of Shanbogue by Karnam, Jayan Talu[illegible]

Village. Jarikere

Taluk. Jarikere Taluk

District. Kadur District

Date of Arri. 5th July 1893.

Date of Receipt. 9th July, 1893.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States that by Govern[illegible] decision No. 1472/141 dated 10th September 1889, she was declared to be the hereditary of the hereditary office of Shanboy of Hebbur &c 4 Villages. But as no effect, as yet, has been given to the said decision, the petitioner prays that effect may be given it by ordering the registers of the office being made out in her name, by allowing her to appoint a suitable person to act on her behalf &c.

To 1893 77

10-7-93,

Sir, T. Sheshadri Iyer, B.C.S.A.

Dewan to His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore.

Most respected Sir,

I, the undersigned beg leave to approach

Your Honour with the following few lines:-

I I am the widow of the deceased

Gundappa, a Segidesa Shanbog of Nagar

Nadanad Mysore in the Sagar Taluk, Shimoga-

District. As my husband died issueless, I am

the next heir to him. Accordingly the Govern-

-ment by its decision No 4712/141 dated 10th September

1889, declared that I am the Hereditary

hereditary office of Shanbog of the four Villages

(Hebbai, Singalike, Chimaie, & Keppige) which was

Registered in my husband's name. The said

decision was sent to the Survey Department

for the purpose of Circling out the Villages which

was duly carried out by the said Department.

II I made an application on the 19th

December, 92, praying Your Honour to give effect

to

to the decision, and regret I received an endorsement No 234/18-1-93, stating that all the records relating thereto have been sent to the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga District for disposal.

I made several applications to the III

Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District & received an endorsement No 1086 dated 2nd June 1893, to my last application dated 20th June, 1893, stating that a report No 1151/1088 dated 31st March 1893 has been submitted to the Government with a report received from the Subdivision Officer of Sagar containing the final explanation of all matters required by the Government to be informed & that no order has been received thereon.

Nearly three years have elapsed since IV

the date of the decision & no effect has yet been given to it. As I have no other means to support myself & have been put to great trouble & inconvenience, I, therefore most humbly solicit your Honour to consider my case & direct me to appoint a suitable pension for the due discharge of the duties of the office, & sanction the arrear of Pension amount due from the date of the decision up to date.

I beg to remain,

most respectfully Sir,

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

(2)

Read:—

(1) Letter from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, dated 2nd March 1892, No 562

(2) Do. from the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga dated 3rd March 1892, No 1131/1088.

Order thereon. No 103484 / Ex 7293

23-1-94.

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letters, Government are pleased to sanction the division of Kasba Nadavadi Mayani into 4 groups, as proposed by the Superintendent of Revenue Survey in his docket dated 10th February 1892, No 747.

19/1/94

Redraft

Sd/ C. Rajagopalachary.
Chief Secretary.

To

The Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.
The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

Petition Board

Rec'd

The Enclosures & the Govt Proceedings dated 24th Dec. 93 & Pet. 390 will be returned.

Order solicited with Memo & the Rent Roll &c. &c. returned herein.

Submitted to the Council

Sanctioned

5/2/94

No. 47.

1894. 1892

Pet: 390

From The Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~The Revenue Survey,~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 15th January/94

Despatched 16th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 431 } 1894:
No.

Govt proceedings Order No. 10345 of 24th December/93 P. 772-93 Sanctioning the division of Kanara Peshwai Inam into four groups as proposed in this Office No. 747 of 10th February 1892

Begs that the revised Statement of the Shanbog's charges submitted for approval with the above may kindly be returned and to request at the same time to accord sanction for

for a further sum of Rs 63. 4. 0. ※ 58

in excess of the total amount of Rs. /
Alambagi's remuneration sanctioned
in Govt proceedings dt: 7-50-60 of
16th September 1885 as already
requested.

as revised Rs 411. 12. 0
as already
sanctioned 348. 8. 0

difference Rs 63 4. 0.

G H Stuart.

Supt: Revenue Survey
Mysore.

Proceedings of the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:—

Docket No 431, dated 15th January 1894 from the Revenue Secretary Mysore, ~~Dubson~~ Superintendent requesting sanction to an increased expenditure of Rs 63-4-0 consequent on the revision of the Potagi Settlement of the villages of Kigga Gadanal Nagani, sanctioned in Proceedings No 10848-7 P.7.72-93 dated 9th January 1894

Order thereon.

Sanctioned

2. The Statement of the

1/60

Shanbhogs' charges received with the Survey Superintendent's letter No 747, dated 18th February 1892 is returned.

Draft
(Commissioner's order)

11/9/2

for Chief Secretary.

To

The Superintendent
Mysore Revenue Survey.

The Comptroller
" Mysore & Coorg.

S.C. BR